

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,445	09/16/2004	Joseph P Orban III	2786	6710
Covidien	7590 10/30/2009 ovidien		EXAMINER	
60 Middletown Avenue North Haven, CT 06473			SMITH, FANGEMONIQUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3736	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/30/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/508,445	ORBAN, JOSEPH P		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Fangemonique Smith	3736		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>15 October 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods:
a) The period for reply expires <u>3 m</u> onths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) I The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In on event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: if box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY OHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee wave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set for thin (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may request the property of the control of the property alternative the control of the property alternative than the property and the property of the property and the property of the property and the property and the property of the property and the property of the proper
NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(c) In the year for deep red to place the application in better form for appeal, and/or appeal, and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. 🗌 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🗎 will be entered and an explanation of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected:
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be
 It all individes to the evidence field after the development of the date of limiting a content of the date of limiting and limiting a content of the date of limiting and limiting a content of the date of limiting and limiting a content of limiting a content o
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
Applicants arguments with respect to the amended claims are not persuasive. The claims require having a transverse fold wherein the distal portion of the device defines a reduced transverse cross-sectional dimension. Examiner sumbits combined references disclose a device having a reduced diameter uson folding the retrieval bas transversely acceptance by Applicant. The
gusset shape before folded provieds a larger cross sectional area similar to the base of a paper bag, then upon folding transersely the cross sectional area decreases. Examiner submits the prior art references as applied in the final rejection meet
the limitations of Applicant's invention as claimed 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
12. ☐ Note the attached miormation <i>Discrosure statement</i> (s). (F10/36/06) Paper Nots)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Max Hindenburg/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3736 /Fongomonique Cmith/

/Fangemonique Smith/ Examiner, Art Unit 3736

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20091026

Application No.